

17-108

17-  
108

PAUL VACHIER  
3629 MARMON BOY  
LA, CA 90065

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Please Seal  
RECEIVED COPY ORIGINAL  
Net Net + RA 11/17/17  
Received & Inspected

DEC 04 2017

No. of Copies rec'd  
List ABCDE

FCC Mail Room

Received & Inspected



DEC 05 2017

FCC Mailroom

AJ/T PA!  
c/o Sec. Marlene H. Dorch

Federal Communications  
Commission  
Commissioner

Office of The Secretary

12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW

0

445

Washington, DC  
20554

Sincerely,

Natasha

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Crane (Detail), 2014. Katharine Barnwell. Copies rec'd.

From Animal Box, 100 Postcards by Katharine Barnwell.

Published by Princeton Architectural Press.

© 2015 Katharine Barnwell.

**EX PARTE OR LATE FILED**

Antiwar placard protesting the Vietnam War, circa 1970.



USA  
FOREVER

Commissioner O'Reilly,  
Respectfully, your  
boss is a corporate  
shill, and he is  
leading you and  
the rest of the USA over a cliff.  
Please, for the sake of the economy  
and citizenry, let's begin moving.  
Support Neutralism!

FCC Mailroom

# Hello

The plan to do away with net neutrality is terrible. There have been comments that net neutrality rules are "bindersome" & anti-innovation, but this is widely untrue. Without net neutrality, the ISP can charge extra fees to reach certain websites, slow down streaming services such as Netflix & Hulu, block websites all together, and will ultimately be the end of the free & open internet. Small businesses that rely on the internet to reach their customers will not be able to afford to compete with big corporations.

To summarize, eliminating net neutrality will make the internet slower, more expensive, and controlled by big corporations. This is unethical.

Americans - and American businesses - rely on uncensored access to the internet.

Save net neutrality.

Received & Inspected

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
LJL ABCDE

Sincerely,  
Alecis Falkmann  
U.S. P. O. Main Room

05/04/2017

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED  
Received & Inspected

Greetings

RE 17-108 DEC 04 2017

Please protect Net Neutrality.

eliminating an even playing field will contribute to this larger problem: "Unfettered capitalism becomes a new tyranny" - pope francis

Right now Apple's net worth is \$534 Billion, Alphabet is \$507, and Microsoft is \$413. The United States' net worth is \$93 billion, China's is \$29, & India's is \$4.9 - So there's a problem here. Unfettered capitalism = removal of antitrust protections & the eventualty that a few big fish will swallow the little ones - making some of those big fish more powerful than many of the countries in the world - but with none of the

No. of Copies rec'd  
List ABCDE

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

304 Rainbow Dr  
Carrboro NC 27510  
Nov 30, 2017

Mr. Brendan Carr  
Federal Communications Commission  
44 S 12<sup>th</sup> St, SW  
Washington DC, 20554

RECEIVED & INSPECTED  
DEC 05 2017  
FCC Mailroom

Dear Mr. Carr:

Do not repeal the net neutrality policy! Please!

You say the government should not "control or heavily regulate internet access", but removing net neutrality rules will just allow the small number of ISPs to do that instead. They will be able to tailor people's internet access to suit their own interests, and <sup>they</sup> have already shown a willingness to do so. How is that an improvement?

Please keep the net neutrality policy!

0 Copies rec'd  
L.P. 11/30/2017

Sincerely,  
Samantha Coste

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

304 Rainbow Dr  
Carrboro NC 27510  
Nov 30, 2017

Mr. Michael O'Rielly  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th St, SW  
Washington DC, 20554

Received & Inspected  
DEC 05 2017  
FCC Mailroom

Dear Mr. O'Rielly:

Do not repeal the net neutrality policy. Though it would allow ISPs to provide a variety of packages of access, they would be able to tailor all those packages to suit their own interests, and they have shown a willingness to do just that.

As for being able to choose between ISPs, there isn't much choice and I don't see that eliminating these rules is likely to change that.

Please keep the net neutrality policy.

D

Sincerely,

Samantha Corte

cc: [unclear]  
1 copy rec'd  
[unclear]

304 Rainbow Dr  
Carrboro NC 27510  
November 30, 2017

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Mr. Ajit Pai, Chairman  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th St., SW  
Washington D.C. 20554

Received & Inspected  
DEC 05 2017  
FCC Mailroom

Dear Mr. Pai:

Do not repeal the net neutrality policy.

You say less regulation will allow service providers to offer variously priced packages of service from which consumers will ~~choose~~. But they will be able to tailor all their offerings to suit their own interests - and they have already shown a willingness to do just that.

~~Choose~~ a different provider? I think maybe I have two choices in my area - some people may not have any choice.

We use a variety of on-line services in my household - Dropbox for my work, Googledrive for my daughter's (public) schoolwork, Evernote for my other notes, Facebook for me, Tumblr and Instagram for her, Facebook again for school clubs — we don't want our service provider choosing which of these we have access to.

Again, keep the net neutrality rules in place!  
Please!

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
.....ABCDE

Sincerely,  
Samantha Corte

Chris Blacker  
223 Bedford Ave. Apt. #4L  
Brooklyn, NY, 11211  
March 5<sup>th</sup>, 2017

Received & Inspected  
DEC 05 2017  
FCC Mailroom

Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th St SW  
Washington, DC 20554

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to express my strong concern regarding Ajit Pai's plans to get rid of net neutrality rules.

Pai's claim that scrapping net neutrality laws will allow companies to provide customers more choice is utterly proposterous. Telecom companies function as de-facto monopolies. I can't simply switch to a different internet service provider if I don't like the one I have, despite slow speeds, terrible customer service, and frequent price increases. Allowing these same monopolies to control the content their clients can see would be nothing less than a clear and present threat to a free and open society. They could influence political elections by favoring content from their preferred candidate, and they would have enormous power to pick winners and losers in the consumer marketplace. We can not grant them that kind of power. We need net neutrality, for the sake of our economy and our democracy.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Chris Blacker

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE

0